

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/748,724 12/30/2003		12/30/2003	Fred Ciaramitaro	STA 0299 PUS1	7532		
22045	7590	03/16/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
BROOKS I	KUSHM	AN P.C.	YAN, RE	YAN, REN LUO			
1000 TOWN TWENTY-S			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
SOUTHFIE	LD, MI	48075	2854	2854			
				DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

а		A	n Na	Applicant/a)	H-13				
		Application		Applicant(s)	·				
Office Action Summary		10/748,72	4	CIARAMITARO ET AL					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Ren L. Ya		2854					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	ss				
THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION INSIDE OF THIS COMMUNION INSIDE OF THIS COMMUNION INSIDE OF THIS COMMUNION INSIDE OF THE OF THE OF THIS COMMUNION INSIDE OF THE OF THIS COMMUNION INSIDE OF THIS	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve unication. i) days, a reply within the statu tutory period will apply and wi will, by statute, cause the appl	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>30 December 20</u>	<u>003</u> .	•					
2a)☐									
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Ćlaims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 19-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 19-24 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 25 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim to All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority)-(d) or (f).					
	2. Certified copies of the priority3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation	of the priority docume	ents have been receive		ıge				
* (See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the certi	fied copies not receive	ed.					
Attachmen	ıt(s)								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO 048\	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
3) 🔯 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date <u>3-22-2004</u> .			Patent Application (PTO-15	2)				

Application/Control Number: 10/748,724

Art Unit: 2854

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19, 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Myers(5,914,176) in view of Krasuski et al(4,840,696). The patent to Myers teaches the method of making an appliqué for applying a printed fabric pattern to an object as claimed including the steps of providing an unprinted substrate 1 for printing a design thereon, cutting the substrate to form a predetermined shape and to form registration holes 5 and 6 on the substrate, printing the fabric pattern on the substrate to define a printed substrate having the printed fabric pattern, and drying the printed substrate at a predetermined temperature and time period. See Figs. 1-3 and column 2, line 62 through column 4, line 5 in Myers for details. However, Myers teaches to print the substrate first and then cut the printed substrate to the desired shape as opposed to the other way around as presently recited. Krasuski et al teach in a label dispensing and printing apparatus the conventionality of cutting the label to a desired label length first and then deliver the label to the printer for printing. See column 1, lines 40-52 in Krasuski et al for example. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the method of Myers with the step of cutting the substrate to the desired length first and then deliver the substrate to the printer to be printed as taught by Krasuski et al so as to ensure only substrate of suitable length is delivered to the printer.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,724

Art Unit: 2854

Claims 20, 21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Myers in view of Krasuski et al as applied to claim 19 above, and further in view of Vesey(6,455,752). Myers, as modified by Krasuski et al, teaches the use of a pressure sensitive adhesive layer 4 on the non-printed side of the substrate 1. However, the applied prior art does not show the use of a release layer covering the adhesive layer. Vesey teaches a decorative stickers including a decorative pattern 14 printed on an upper surface 17 of a substrate 16, the lower surface 18 of the substrate 16 carries a pressure sensitive adhesive layer 13, and a release layer 15 is attached to the adhesive layer 13. Vesey also teaches to kiss-cut the printed sticker without cutting through the release layer 15. See Figs. 4-6 and the paragraph bridging columns 5 and 6 in Vesey for example. In view of the teaching of Vesey, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the adhesive layer in the substrate of Myers, as modified by Krasuski et al with a release layer for protecting the adhesive layer and to kiss-cut the fabric pattern without cutting through the release layer in order to facilitate removal the printed fabric from the release layer and attaching the removed fabric to an object. With respect to claim 23. Myers teaches to cure the printed ink on the fabric using temperatures to 400 degrees Fahrenheit. However, the time period is not given. It would have been obvious to those having ordinary skill in the art to maintain the temperature for curing the ink at a time period suitable until the printed ink is cured.

Claim 25 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement indicating allowable subject matter:

Art Unit: 2854

The claimed method steps of printing the first, second, third and fourth portion on the fabric layer in a manner as defined in claim 25 is not taught or suggested by the prior art of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ren L. Yan whose telephone number is 571-272-2173. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on 571-272-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

len Jam

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2854

Ren Yan March 11, 2005